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## Today's Focus

- Mathematics Coaching Program Framework and evaluation model
- Selected instruments, data, and findings
- Audience Sharing of research experience
- Discussion of further directions for coaching work research, issues, potentials, Q\&A


## MCP Structural Model



## Evaluation Research

| Coach Level | Teacher Level | Student Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LMT (UM) | LAMP (MCP/OSU) | OATs - Public Record |
| LAMP (MCP/OSU) | Coach Reports/1-on-1 | OATs - MCP Pre/Post |
| Facilitator Reports | Coach Reports/Classroom | Problem Sets |
| Coach Reports | In Development - 09-10: | Coach Report/Classroom |
| Site visits | Classroom Observation | Primary Grades |
| Coach Interviews | Teacher Interviews | In Development - 09-10: |
| Scripting/Scenario <br> Prompt | Social Justice <br> Implementation | Classroom Observation |
| Social Justice: Coach <br> Development |  |  |
| PD documentation |  |  |

## Learning About Mathematics Pedagogy LAMP

- Purposes - content and pedagogy
- Model of first LAMP
- 10 items, based on student work, all open response
- Emergent themes
- Model of current LAMP
- 10 items, based on student work, each with 2 forced response and corresponding elaboration


## Lamp Theoretical Grounding

Emergent themes led to fixed responses ranked from least to most desirable:

- Content:
- Procedural to Integrated Procedural/Conceptual (Baroody et al)
- Mathematics:
- Direct instruction to learner-responsive pedagogy


## Sample LAMP Mathematics Item

9. Students were asked to tell a story to go with the graph below. Maris' story was about a sailboat's speed in a race.
 From the options below, select and circle the one that best represents what you believe Maris' response indicates she understands and does not understand:
a) If Maris had more information on the graph she may have interpreted it differently.
b) Maris must not understand how to use numbers and labels in her graphs.
c) Maris does not understand that the straight line indicates that the speed remains the same over time.
d) Maris understands that a line graph shows only a progression of time, rate, or speed.

## Sample LAMP Mathematics Item

The teacher/coach chose c (Maris does not understand that the straight line indicates that the speed remains the same over time) and elaborated as follows:

If the boat stopped the graph would go down to the bottom where zero would be. The graph shows speed, where the boat is speeding up, remaining steady at a constant speed, and then accelerating again.

## Sample LAMP Pedagogy Item

Suppose you have 3 marbles in a bag: 1 red and 2 green. If you reach into the bag without looking and randomly pick out 2 marbles at once, what is the probability that both of the marbles you pick will be green?
Jack said the answer is $2 / 3$.
From the options below circle the instructional strategy that best represents what you might use to teach students to understand probability:
a) Ask Jack how he decided that $2 / 3$ is the answer.
b) Teach a lesson where students explore experimental probability so students can understand "likelihood."
c) Play games of chance.
d) Tell the students the more there is of the color the more likely it is to be drawn.

## Sample LAMP Pedagogy Item

The teacher/coach chose C (Play games of chance) as preferred instructional strategy and elaborated as below:

I would probably actually do the activity and ask them to list what they pull out. Then I would ask students what were the possibilities which would be red-green and greengreen. From there they should be able to figure that the probability of choosing 2 green marbles is $1 / 2$.

## Ohio Achievement Tests

 (OATs)- Designed to align with Ohio Academic Content Standards based on NCTM Standards by grade level.
- Given once per year in April (Mar/May)
- Release full test only after first administration.
- Pre/Post Data collection and analysis


## Extended-Response Problem

- Twelve students wrote their names and the number of letters in their names on cards as shown.

$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { Tommy } \\
5 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$



- Use the line to construct a line plot of the information on the students' cards. Use X to show the data.

| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 homes on cards as shown.

wise the line to construct a the plot out the information on the shedenti" calais. Use $\times$ to stour the data.
$3,3,4,4,4,5,5,5,6,8$


Fla the median, mode and range of the data on the cards.
Medical: $\square$
Mode: $\qquad$
Ralาधヲ:

10. Twolves stuchents wro*e their nommes and tho numbear of letters in their maines on sorcls os stecent.

 curas. Lise $\times$ lo stiow the deatca.

等


Find the rrosedicur. mode and range or tho ctate on the cuict:


$$
3,3 \times 4 y
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{3}{3} \\
-\frac{3}{3} \\
4-0-6
\end{gathered}
$$

## Discussion

- Inter-rater reliability
- Teacher interference
- Technology skills
- Move to public record data only
- Pre/Post vs Year to Year


## 3rd Grade Mathematics

## Ohio Achievement Test Results



- Non-Coached

■ Coached

## UNIVERSTIY

## 3rd Grade Results by Ethnicity


$\square$ Hispanic
■ Black
■ White

## 3rd Grade Results by Economic Status


$\square$ Non-Coached DisAd $\square$ Coached DisAd
$\square$ Non-Coached NonDis
$\square$ Coached NonDis

## Challenges

- Requires a special kind of person.
- Build relationships: trust, confidentiality.
- Must have commitment to all of MCP.
- Coach selection process.
- IRB Issues.
- RANDOMIZATION CONTROL


## Discussion

- Audience participants' sharing
- Further directions for coaching work research?
- Needs and potentials?
- Q\&A

Thank You!!!

The Ohio State University

